

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/891,941	06/25/2001	Terry D. Beard	115-21-099	2621	
23935 75	590 08/19/2005		EXAM	INER	
KOPPEL, JACOBS, PATRICK & HEYBL 555 ST. CHARLES DRIVE SUITE 107 THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91360			PENDLETO	PENDLETON, BRIAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/891,941	BEARD, TERRY D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian T. Pendleton	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stath  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repunication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (autory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 April 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-21,23-38,40-55,57-68</u>	and 86-121 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3, 5-14, 1	8-21, 23-31, 34-38, 40-48, 52-55, 57	7-64, 86-106, 108, and 110-121 is/are				
withdrawn from consideration.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• 3.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	a)	*				
6) Claim(s) <u>15-17,32,33,49-51,65-68,10</u>	7 and 109 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.	J.,				
Application Papers	• •					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 7  documents have been received.	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	documents have been received in Ap	nlication No				
	of the priority documents have been re	·				
application from the Internation		eceived in tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action		eceived				
255 2	a net et ane common depied flot fe					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/891,941

Art Unit: 2644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-3, 5-14, 20, 21, 23-31, 35-38, 40-48, 54, 55, 57-64, 86-106, 108, and 110-121 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/1/05.

Claims 18, 19, 34, 52, and 53 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/1/05.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-17, 32, 33, 49-51, 65-68, 107 and 109 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, 24, 26, 44, 47, 64, 66, 80, 82, 89, and 91 of U.S. Patent No. 6,252,965. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the inventive concept is the same. Specifically, the prior patent claims and the instant claims recite establishing mapping

Art Unit: 2644

, .... ×

coefficients for conditioning an audio signal on a first set of channels to be reconfigured onto a second set of channels wherein the mapping coefficients are established for each temporal aperture period. It was obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the instant and prior patent claims accomplish the same task similarly.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Brian T. Pendleton Examiner Art Unit 2644

3.2.2

btp